

Comment on “Quantum Dialogue”

Q.-Y. Cai

*Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, The Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Wuhan 430071, China*

PACS: 03.67.Hk

Recently, Nguyen presented an entanglement-based protocol for two people to simultaneously exchange their message [1]. The basic idea of this protocol is beautiful. However, the detection probability of per control mode(CM) run under disturbance attack [2] is incorrect. So the total detection probability after N runs is not reliable. In this comment, we will show that detection probability in every CM run under the disturbance attack is not 3/4 but 1/2.

In Nguyen’s protocol [1], Bob first prepares an Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) that is randomly in one of the four Bell states. Bob sends one qubit of the EPR pair to Alice and keeps another. When Alice receives Bob’s travel qubit, she performs an encoding operation on the travel and sends it back to Bob. Bob performs an Bell basis measurement and announces his measurement outcome. When received Bob’s announcement, Alice can select to decode Bob’s information (message mode). Otherwise, she publish her encoded information to check security of their dialogue. Let us suppose that Eve uses a disturbance attack, i.e., she measures the travel qubit in the basis $B_z = \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ in every run. Suppose that Bob prepares the EPR pair in $|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle - |1\rangle|0\rangle)$ and encodes “01” that means the state $|\psi^-\rangle$ was changed into $|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|1\rangle + |1\rangle|0\rangle)$. Then Bob sends one qubit to Alice. Eve performs an B_z measurement on this travel qubit and forwards it to Alice. Alice performs an encoding operation and sends this qubit back to Bob. Bob performs an Bell basis measurement and announces his measurement outcome.

After Eve’s measurement in line $B \rightarrow A$, the state of the two qubits is $|0\rangle_B|1\rangle_A$ or $|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B$. When Alice’s encoding operation is $\hat{1}$ or σ_z , this product state does not change any more. When Alice’s encoding operation is σ_x or $i\sigma_y$, the product state becomes $|0\rangle|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle|1\rangle$. When Bob performs a Bell basis measurement, his measurement outcome is randomly in the state $|\psi^-\rangle$ or $|\psi^+\rangle$. Clearly, when Alice announces her encoding operation, Bob has a probability $p = 1/2$ to find out Eve is in line. When Alice’s encoding operation is σ_x or $i\sigma_y$, the product state becomes $|0\rangle|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle|1\rangle$. Bob’s final measurement out is $|\phi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle|1\rangle)$. The detection probability is obviously $p = 1/2$. The same conclusion can also be drawn when in other conditions¹ when Eve uses this disturbance attack.

In this comment, we want to emphasize that when the state of the two qubit is $|0\rangle_B|1\rangle_A$ or $|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B$, Bob’s Bell basis measurement outcome can not be $|\phi^\pm\rangle$. Also, when the product state is $|0\rangle|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle|1\rangle$, Bob’s measurement outcome can not be $|\psi^\pm\rangle$. That is the reason why detection probability is not 3/4 but 1/2 under Eve’s disturbance attack.

I. REFERENCES:

1. B. A. Nguyen, Phys. Lett. A (in press); quant-ph/0406130.
2. Q.-Y. Cai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 109801(2003).

¹That means Bob sends different states to Alice.